	ed States Patent .	and Trademark Office	UNITED STATES DEPARTS United States Patent and T Address COMMISSIONER OF P. Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov	rademark Office ATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,750	06/29/2001	Naoyuki Kawanishi	Q64948	3354
7590 08/13/2002 SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20037-3213			EXAMINER	
			CHEA, THORL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1752 DATE MAILED: 08/13/2002	6

 $\sim$ 

 $\frown$ 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	pplicant(s)				
$\bigcirc$	09/893,750	KAWANISHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thorl Chea	1752				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cov r she t with the correspond nc address						
Period for Reply						
<ul> <li>A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>1</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on $23$ .	<u>June 2001</u> .					
	his action is non-final.					
20 Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matters	, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 215.						
Disp sition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	h the second second					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	sr					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) $\square$ All b) $\square$ Some * c) $\square$ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		cation No				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) D Notice of Infor	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

\_\_\_\_

.

<u>AS-6</u>

Application/Control Number: 09/893,750 Art Unit: 1752

THE NEW YORK 

CTO MARK

tarn-rapore an morale

Page 2

B Balles Letter balles

## DETAILED ACTION

- Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121: 1.
  - Claims 1-14, drawn to process for preparing grains of silver salt of an I. organic acid, classified in class 554, subclass 74.
  - Claims 15-18, drawn to apparatus preparing grains of silver salt of an П. organic acid, classified in class 430, subclass 620.
  - Claims 19-22, drawn to process for forming an imaging material, classified Ш. in class 503, subclass 210.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Invention of Group I and Group III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it 2. can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions. The invention of group I is related to the process of forming grains of silver salt of an organic acid, whereas the invention of group III are related to the method for producing an image recording The grains of silver salt of organic acid presented in Group III is an material. intermediate product which can be made by the method other than that presented in Group I.

Inventions Group II and Group III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions, the invention of group II is related

## Application/Control Number: 09/893,750 Art Unit: 1752

to the apparatus for forming grains of silver salt of an organic acid, whereas the invention of group III are related to the method for producing an image recording material. The apparatus is used in the producing grains of silver salt of an organic acid, but related to the use thereof in coating process of organic material on a support.

3. Inventions Group I and Group II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process can be made by hand.

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. A telephone call was made to Abraham J. Rosner on August 16, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thorl Chea whose telephone number is (703)308-3498. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30 - 6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet C Baxter can be reached on (703)308-2303. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9301 for regular communications and (703)872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

Thorl Chea Primary Examiner Art Unit 1752

tchea fly August 11, 2002